28

Insurance Company, a California corporation, ("WESTERN") is and at all time herein mentioned was, a business entity existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, engaged in the business of insurance in the State of California, and doing business in the State of California as a corporate surety of bonds required by the United States of America and its various agencies.

- 4. Defendant Cornerstone Building Group (hereinafter referred to as "CORNERSTONE") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a Corporation licensed and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, engaged in the construction business in California.
- PALOMAR is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that United States Navy is, and at all relevant times was, the owner and/or reputed owner of an interest in the property known as Smart Clinic, upon which the construction and actions that are the subject of this lawsuit were being performed. (Hereinafter referred to as "THE PROJECT.")
- 6. On or about September 30, 2006, CORNERSTONE entered into a written contract with the United States Navy, commonly referred to or identified as contract number N62473-06-G-1035, Delivery Order 0020, for the construction or reconstruction of the facility which construction is referred to herein as THE PROJECT.
- Thereafter, CORNERSTONE as principal and WESTERN as surety duly executed, 7. obtained or issued a payment Bond dated on or about October 6, 2006 pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of Title 40 of the United States Code, section 270(a), in the total amount of \$569,902.00 (hereinafter referred to as the "BOND").
- The BOND guarantees, jointly and severally, payment of persons supplying labor and material for the prosecution of the construction work at THE PROJECT. As required, in the **BOND** was presented or delivered to the United States of America, and accepted by it, thereby guaranteeing payment for those supplying labor and materials on THE PROJECT such as PALOMAR.
- 9. CORNERSTONE created a written contract that was dated October 6, and signed by PALOMAR November 3, 2006, in regard to the renovation operations at the PROJECT. In addition to the original written contract, PALOMAR and CORNERSTONE entered various written change orders and other agreements that should have been the subject of written change orders in

5

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

relation to additional work or services. (Hereinafter collectively referred to as the "CONTRACT.")

Filed 03/20/2008

- 10. Pursuant to the CONTRACT, PALOMAR furnished labor and materials for the works of improvement at THE PROJECT. Except to the extent prevented or excused, PALOMAR has satisfactorily completed all of its obligations under the CONTRACT.
- 11. As a result of the completion of PALOMAR's portion of the work at THE PROJECT, CORNERSTONE became indebted to PALOMAR in the amount of \$175,080.70 or an amount that will be the subject of proof at the time of trial, which amount exceeds the Jurisdictional requirements of the Court. CORNERSTONE received payment in full for its contract amount and actually received payment from the United States Navy for the work of PALOMAR, which is the subject of this lawsuit. PALOMAR is informed and believes that CORNERSTONE obtained payment from the Navy for the work of PALOMAR by representing or agreeing that it would pay PALOMAR. CORNERSTONE has subsequently retained the sums provided to it by the Navy for the work of PALOMAR and CORNERSTONE has continued to fail or refuse to pay PALOMAR the sums that are owed.
- PALOMAR was required to and did file a Bond Claim with WESTERN in relation to the BOND in accordance with or pursuant to the Miller Act, for the amounts constituting the value of the services and the materials that PALOMAR provided at THE PROJECT. That Bond Claim was denied and the subject amounts remained unpaid at the time this action was filed
- The Defendants collectively have failed to pay PALOMAR for the labor and materials furnished by PALOMAR at THE PROJECT, which amount is currently \$175,080.70 exclusive of interest, attorney's fees and court costs. Additionally, PALOMAR has suffered lost business opportunities, lost interest on the sums wrongfully retained and/or has suffered other economic or consequential damages through the actions of the Defendants. PALOMAR is informed and believes that the retention of the funds by CORNERSTONE was or is intentional and/or otherwise amounts to conversion.
- Having failed and refused to pay PALOMAR, notwithstanding PALOMAR's multiple 14. demands, there is now due, owing, and unpaid to PALOMAR the amount of \$175,080.70 or an amount that will be proven at the time of trial, plus consequential damages, interest, attorney's fees

I	and	costs	according	to	proof
---	-----	-------	-----------	----	-------

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15. PALOMAR has retained attorneys, duly licensed to practice law before all of the courts of the State of California, as their attorneys of record herein, and has incurred the obligation to pay its attorneys a reasonable attorney's fee, and is entitled to recover those fees from the Defendants in accordance with an agreement, pursuant to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, pursuant to other laws of the United States of America or pursuant to laws of the State of California.

16. As a further, direct, proximate and legal result of the conduct of the Defendants, as alleged herein, PALOMAR has been damaged by, among other things, having been induced to expend or having been deprived of the use of substantial sums of money in an amount that, from time to time, was in an amount certain or an amount capable of being determined by reasonable calculation, thereby entitling PALOMAR to prejudgment interest pursuant to the Federal Acquisition Regulations and/or California Civil Code section 3287. Alternatively, PALOMAR is entitled to interest as a result of this dispute involving construction of improvements to property pursuant to an agreement, pursuant to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, pursuant to other laws of the United States of America or pursuant to laws of the State of California.

II.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract)

- 17. PALOMAR re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of the above paragraphs 1 through 16, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 18. PALOMAR has performed all conditions, covenants and promises required on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the CONTRACT, except those which have been permitted or excused by the actions and conduct of CORNERSTONE, or its agents and representatives.
- 19. CORNERSTONE has breached the CONTRACT by failing and refusing to pay to PALOMAR the principal sum of \$175,080.70
- 20. As a proximate result of the above described breach of contract, PALOMAR has been damaged in the principal sum of \$175,080.70 together with interest according to proof.

3

5

6 7

8

10 11

12 13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

21. As a further, direct, proximate and legal result of the conduct, as set forth herein, PALOMAR has been damaged by, among other things, having been caused to expend substantial sums of money for attorney's fees, attorney's costs and other litigation costs which are recoverable pursuant to an agreement, that the Federal Acquisition Regulations, other law of be United States of America or pursuant to laws of the State of California.

III.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Work and Labor)

- 22. **PALOMAR** re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of the above paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Within San Diego, the CORNERSTONE became indebted to PALOMAR in the principal sum of \$175,080.70 for the services of PALOMAR at THE PROJECT at the special insistence and request of CORNERSTONE, including materials furnished. The reasonable value of the services and materials was and is in the principal sum of \$175,080.70.
- 24. No part of said sum has been paid, and there is now due, owing and unpaid, the principal sum of \$175,080.70 together with interest thereon at the maximum legally permissible rate from and after a date according to proof.

IV.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conversion)

- 25. PALOMAR re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of the above paragraphs 1 through 25, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. As was indicated above, CORNERSTONE acquired the sums from the United States Navy, which sums were due and owing to PALOMAR for the work or services provided by PALOMAR at the project. PALOMAR is informed and believes that CORNERSTONE acquired those sums by representing to the Navy that they would be paid to Palomar, or by concealing from the Navy an intent to deprive Palomar of those sums. CORNERSTONE has wrongfully taken the sums which were to be used by PALOMAR, and instead has held or used those funds which

 constitutes conversion. In this regard, PALOMAR is informed and believes that CORNERSTONE intentionally engaged in the conduct or abstained from taking action to cause PALOMAR to be deprived of the subject sums.

- 27. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the conduct set forth herein, PALOMAR has been damaged by, among other things, having been caused to lose substantial sums of money in relation to the said sums wrongfully taken, having been deprived of money spent to replace the said sum wrongfully taken, and other economic and/or consequential damages in a total amount that will be demonstrated according to proof at the time of trial.
- 28. As a further, direct, proximate and legal result of the conduct, as set forth herein, **PALOMAR** has been damaged by, among other things, having been caused to expend substantial sums of money for attorney's fees, attorney's costs and other litigation costs which are recoverable pursuant to an agreement, or pursuant to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, pursuant to other laws of the United States of America or pursuant to laws of the State of California.
- 29. As a further, direct, proximate and legal result of the conduct of the **DEFENDANTS**, as alleged herein, **PALOMAR** has been damaged by, among other things, having been induced to expend or having been deprived of the use of substantial sums of money in an amount that, from time to time, was in an amount certain or an amount capable of being determined by reasonable calculation, thereby entitling **PALOMAR** to prejudgment interest pursuant to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, other laws of the United States of America or California Civil Code section 3287. Alternatively, **PALOMAR** is entitled to interest as a result of this dispute involving construction of improvements to property.
- 30. The conduct alleged in this cause of action was despicable and was done with a conscious disregard of PALOMAR's rights or with the intention of depriving PALOMAR of rights, or otherwise constituting oppression, fraud or malice under California Civil Code section 3288, thereby entitling PALOMAR to prejudgment interest in the discretion of the jury.
- 31. The conduct a alleged in this cause of action, was despicable and was done with a conscious disregard of PALOMAR's rights or property or with the intention of depriving PALOMAR of rights or property, or otherwise constituting oppression, fraud or malice under

in an amount sufficient to punish or set an example of the defendants.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

V.

California Civil Code section 3294, thereby entitling PALOMAR to punitive or exemplary damages

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Insurance Bad Faith)

- PALOMAR re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of the above paragraphs 1 through 31, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. WESTERN, as surety and insurer for others, having undertaken to provide PALOMAR with certain coverage, guaranties or warranties of payment, was under a duty implied by law to act fairly and in good faith while investigating or evaluating bond claims such as that which is the subject of this action. WESTERN has breached the above-described duty in that it unreasonably and without proper clause filed and/or refused to investigate or evaluate the claim and failed to pay PALOMAR. Additionally, WESTERN unreasonably delayed providing its explanation and refusal to make payments to Palomar, notwithstanding PALOMAR's multiple demands, and there is now due, owing, and unpaid an amount that will be proven at the time of trial, plus consequential damages, interest, attorney's fees and costs according to proof.
- 34. PALOMAR performed all conditions, covenants and promises, including giving proper notice of said claim to DEFENDANTS, required on its part to be performed, except those which have been permitted or excused by the conduct of WESTERN, CORNERSTONE or their agents and representatives.
- 35. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the conduct of the Defendants, as set forth herein, PALOMAR has been damaged by, among other things, having been caused to lose substantial sums of money in relation to the above-described unpaid sum, having been deprived of money wrongfully taken or withheld by the Defendants and other economic and/or consequential damages in a total amount that will be demonstrated according to proof at the time of trial; however, the amount of damage suffered exceeds the jurisdictional requirements of this Court.
- 36. As a further, direct, proximate and legal result of the conduct of the DEFENDANTS, as set forth herein, PALOMAR has been damaged by, among other things, having been caused to

1							
1	expend substantial sums of money for attorney's fees, attorney's costs and other litigation cost						
2	which are recoverable pursuant to an agreement, pursuant to the Federal Acquisition Regulations						
3	pursuant to the laws of the United States of America or pursuant to laws of the State of California						
4	VI.						
5	PRAYER FOR RELIEF						
6	WHEREFORE, PALOMAR prays for or requests judgment as follows:						
7	ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION						
8	1. For the principal sum of \$175,080.70;						
9	2. For interest thereon at the maximum legally permissible rate from and after a dat						
10	according to proof;						
11	3. For costs of suit, expert witness fees and attorney's fees according to proof at the tim						
12	of trial.						
13	4. For reasonable attorney's fees; and						
14	5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.						
15	ON THE FOURTH ANS FIFTH CAUSES OF ACTION						
16	1. For punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish or set an example						
17	of the Defendants.						
18	VII.						
19	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMAND</u>						
20	PALOMAR hereby formally requests to have a trial by jury in relation to the causes of action						
21	and the issues that are properly the subject of such a trial.						
22	Stalland						
23	March 11, 2008 By: STUART D. HIRSCH, ESQ.						
24	Attorney for Plaintiff, PALOMAR GRADING AND PAVING, INC.						
25							
26							
27							
28							

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

148979 - SH

March 20, 2008 12:04:28

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV0521

Judge..: JOHN A HOUSTON

Amount.: \$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC004658

\$350.00 Total->

FROM: PALOMAR GRADING AND PAVING INC V. WESTERN INS CO ET AL

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

			1 2 TO 1 2 TO 1 2 TO 1				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	T		DEFENDANTS	Western Insurance Company and Cornerstone Building Group			
Palomar Grading and Pavi	ng, inc.		western insuran				
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff	San Diego	County of Residence	CLERK U.S. DISTRIC of First Listed Defendant OF	T COURT 976		
• •	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)		
				ND CONDEMNATION CASES, US D INVOLVED	DEPUTY		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	т)	Attorneys (If Known)		•		
Stuart D. Hirsch, 2150 N			6 200	CV 521 JA	AH WMC		
			Uð				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" is	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	du al Darrica in Nam TID	Citizen of Another State	O 2 D 2 Incorporated and I of Business In .			
	(majeste Chizens)	nip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Toreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[(Place an "X" in One Box Or	ılv)					
CONTRACT	和270mm14.4mm25的20mm14. TO	RTS			OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplans	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust		
2 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Maipractice 365 Personal Injury -	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	G 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS **** 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	660 Occupational	840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV		
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service		
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	ST SOCIAL SECURITY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE SECURITY AND DESCRIP	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange		
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	Act	362 Black Lung (923)	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	385 Property Damage Product Liability	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relation 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting		390 Other Statutory Actions		
196 Franchise	Injury	- Language Component Compo	& Disclosure Act	口 865 RSI (405(g)) 特许EDERAL TAX SUITS 年初	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	510 Motions to Vacate		370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	393 Environmental Matters		
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) [] 871 IRS—Third Party	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	530 General	Security Aca	26 USC 7609	Act		
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare 445 Amer, w/Disabilities	535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth			900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access		
290 All Other Real Property	Employment	550 Civil Rights			to Justice		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other	- 555 Prison Condition			950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	440 Other Civil Rights	<u> </u>					
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)		_ T _m	insferred from	Appeal to District Judge from		
	Removed from 3	Kemanded Hom	Reinstated or and	other district 6 Multidist ccify) Litigation	rict Magistrate		
Proceeding S	Cite the U.S. Civil S	Appellate Court tatute under which you as		onal statutes unless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 40 usc 3133						
VI. CAUSE OF ACIA	Differ describitors or	cause: I Claim and related o	causes for non payment				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		S IS A CLASS ACTION		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: e: 🗹 Yes 🔲 No		
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 03/11/2008		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD	Stua	rt D. Hirsch		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT# <u>JU8979</u>	amount <u>#350</u>	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	мад. ли	DOB		
Q1 3	3/19/08		· ·				